

Present: Jeff Adams, Dave Anderson, Peter Badalament, Stan Durlacher, Michelle Ernst, John Flaherty, Karla Johnson, John Lindner, Brian Miller, Peter Nobile, Charlie Sample, Bill Tice, Jerry Wedge, Chris Whelan,

Also Present: Brian Dakin, KVA, Lisa Pecora-Ryan, Jeanne Roberts, Leland Koehler/Rice, Michael Rosenfeld, OMR, Maureen Kirkpatrick, Turner Construction

Absent: Walter Birge, Tim Hult, Joseph Morahan, Diana Rigby, Louis Salemy, Sergio Siani, Richard Waterman, Elise Woodward

I. Call to Order

Karla Johnson called the meeting to order at 6:04 PM.

II. Reading of Minutes

A motion was made to approve the April 11, 2012 minutes by Brian Miller and seconded by Bill Tice. The motion was unanimously approved.

II. Action Items:

- A motion was made to approve payment of invoices as indicated on the Invoice Log dated June 27, 2012 for period ending May 31, 2012; Turner Construction-Pre-Con \$35,220, KVA –DD Phase \$45,850, Garrity & Knisely legal fees \$2,300, OMR Site Survey Task 2 \$400, Brown/Sardina Amend #12 Soils Investigation \$5,873.73, Amend #13 Subsurface Investigation \$3,795, Amend #13 Supplemental Hazmat survey \$9,036.50, Reimbursement for printing expense for drawings \$191.80, Site model startup costs \$1,700 for a total of Invoices submitted eligible & ineligible in the amount of \$104,367.44. So moved by John Flaherty and seconded by Bill Tice. The motion was unanimously approved.
- A motion was made to approve OMR's Proposal Request #20 for CDW Consulting for additional subsurface investigation for a value of \$8,360;
- A motion was made to approve OMR's Proposal Request #21 for CDW Consulting for DEP regulatory for landfill for a value of \$78,976 and immediately committing \$53,075;
- A motion was made to approve OMR's Proposal Request #22 for Geotech services through construction for a value of \$65,780. All three proposals were moved by John Flaherty and seconded by Dave Anderson. The motions were unanimously approved.

IV. KVA Update:

Brian Dakin reported that the drawings that were issued in March were estimated as being over budget. The project team has been working to realign the project to the \$75million construction budget. In the process this has resulted in the shifting of dates where drawings would have gone to the MSBA. As a result the MSBA asked the project team to meet at their office on June 14, 2012 and at that meeting the team reviewed the scope, schedule and the budget. MSBA requested that certain documents to explain the design development process and the value engineering process to date be sent to them. The documents were sent to MSBA subsequent to the meeting. Brian also reported that the schedule was reviewed with MSBA. There have always been two schedules reported to them; one was an accelerated schedule with delivery in the summer of 2014 and another with delivery in the winter of 2014/2015. We are still tracking within alignment of the nonaggressive original schedule that was provided in the schematic package. We reported to the MSBA that the accelerated schedule was abandoned and that the project is on track to beat the original schematic schedule by a few months. MSBA was told that the design development submission would occur at some point in mid August and upon submission those documents would trigger the standard review and comment process.

V. OMR Update:

Lisa reported the accomplishments since the last time we met: issued value engineering building items to Turner & D.G. Jones, received final value engineering pricing from estimators, reconciled VE estimates with Turner & D.G. Jones; budget review with the Executive Committee, code review with code consultant, and have been working on the updated design development drawings which we will be issuing it to the cost estimators on June 29. Looking ahead the estimating process will take 3 to 4 weeks, the architectural engineering team will be meeting with town authorities, start working on the ZBA drawings and hope to get pricing in on July 23 and reconcile costs, meet with the executive committee to present the revised budget. If all goes well the team will issue the design development submission to the MSBA in early August in order to begin the construction document phase and prepare for the August 31 early site package.

Lisa also gave a MA CHPS update. Everything is right on target with all the prerequisites being achieved. Right now we are targeting 60-62 points, we need 50 points for reimbursement.

Michael Rosenfeld gave an update on the revised site plan and demonstrated that the original concept is still intact; the building is more compact.

VI. Communications:

Michelle Ernst reported that there was a neighborhood forum on June 14 that was fairly well attended; about 15 people. The team did a great job updating the timeline. There will be another meeting the end of August of early September and also a community forum for parents of high schoolers. A new newsletter also went out.

VII. New Business:

None

VIII. Public Comments:

Eric Johnson, former CCHS student, had concerns with the parking lot.

Charlie Blair mentioned a letter sent from MSBA that funding was being suspended. No one on the committee had seen or received the letter.

IX. Adjourn:

A motion was made to adjourn by Jeff Adams and seconded by Chris Whelan at 7:20 PM. The motion was unanimously approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Leona Palmaccio