

**Present:** Jeff Adams, Dave Anderson, Michelle Ernst, John Flaherty, Tim Hult, Karla Johnson, John Lindner, Brian Miller, Peter Nobile, Diana Rigby, Louis Salemy, Charlie Sample, Sergio Siani, Jerry Wedge,

**Also Present:** David Saindon, KVA, Jeanne Roberts, Leland Koehler/Rice, Michael Rosenfeld, Lisa Pecora-Ryan, OMR

**Absent:** Peter Badalament, Walter Birge, Stan Durlacher, Joseph Morahan, Bill Tice, Margaret Waterman, Richard Waterman, Chris Whelan, Elise Woodward

**I. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Jerry Wedge at 6:15 PM.

**II. Reading of Minutes**

Jerry asked if there were any recommended changes to the amended minutes of May 4, 2011. A motion was made to approve the May 4, 2011 amended minutes by Peter Nobile and seconded by Sergio Siani. The motion was unanimously approved.

**III OPM Update – David Saindon, KVA**

David stated at our May 4<sup>th</sup> meeting we talked about revising the duration time of Option 3 and refining the Ed Spec. before the May 11 FAS meeting with MSBA. We did this and it was delivered on Friday, May 6; we had the FAS meeting on May 11 and this was good news since it was sooner than expected. David was unable to make the meeting so Jerry will give us a brief update. Jerry said that Jeanne Roberts did a fantastic job presenting our progress to date. She showed a very clear process and very clear decisions that were made. It was apparent to the state that the build new option was significantly less expensive than the renovation option. MSBA spent a good part of the rest of the meeting outlining issues with our Ed Spec. and where we weren't in alignment with their regulations and guidelines. Subsequent to the meeting, we received a letter from Mary Pichetti (MSBA) dated May 9 which went through the categories and where we need to justify areas where we have gone over the guidelines. The biggest difference is with health and PE which we've always known. The jist of our conversations with the state since then are focused on what we can do with PE. We felt it was a good meeting talking face to face and we left thinking we will be resolving things. The state has asked us to provide additional information and clarification on the Ed Spec. They delivered that letter on May 13, the district responded on the 18<sup>th</sup>, we had a conference call on the 20<sup>th</sup> and we had another conference call today. As we get further into the presentation today we'll be able to talk about what was discussed today with the MSBA. The issue is coming down to health and PE and the additional space we are submitting for that. We are requesting space that exists today which is about 31,000 square feet and MSBA's guideline is 23,000. We are 9,000 to 10,000 square feet off and that's been the struggle going back and forth on how MSBA will be able to sign off on the project and how we are going to get the project we want and basically need. Louis Salemy reminded us that since we have chosen the build new option, the state has very firm guidelines around the build new option and tend to stick to the guidelines. We've known for some time that we are over guideline. The state can show some leeway in a renovation project. We were pursuing the new option up until the state clearly stated that they would allow 226,000 square feet. Therefore it became clear that we needed another approach. We can't go to the community with a building that doesn't meet our program today. After today's conversation with MSBA, it's apparent that we've understood from the beginning that their limit was 221,000 and anything we built in addition to that we would be paying for it. The issue is really allowing us to build the additional square footage on our own dime but MSBA would have to sanction it. We could build what we want with a separate vote which is not ideal for us since it could put the whole project at risk and not an option we want to pursue. The other option would be to look at renovating the existing athletic complex. If we have a renovated component they might allow us to build additional square footage in a renovated space. We left the discussion that we will study this further and submit something new to them next Thursday and hopefully they will have a quick turnaround so we can stay on schedule.

**IV. OMR Update – Jeanne Roberts**

This is meeting six. We will not have the preferred schematic report today because of today's conversation with MSBA. We will have a PSR at the next meeting on June 8 and will add a meeting on June 15 to approve the PSR. Jeanne went over the evaluation matrices which were filled out at the last meeting. The winning solution was the 12R scheme. Michael Rosenfeld went over the 12R Option. The site has changed a little which may help with the issues with the state; it's getting a little more integrated. Michael went over the entire Option for the committee. The issue with the state is the square footage. It is conceivable that the state will allow us to discuss three options with them. One is build the existing 226,000 sq. ft. and allow us to renovate some part or all of the existing athletics or create a discreet separate building that is attached and integrate it in our facility. Over the next couple of weeks we will figure out what the options are, talk to the state about it and bring it back to the committee. Michael then presented Option

13 to us which has a renovation portion to it. The direction, if we have consensus with the committee, is that Option13 is the direction we want to go.

V. **Owner Update:**

- Louis reported that on June 13 OMR & KVA will do a town presentation in Carlisle.
- On June 15 we will have the same presentation in Concord. These will be the last forums before the summer.
- Peter Nobile reported that he has a meeting with CMLP within the next couple of days.
- John Flaherty will draw up a cost saving analysis report.

VI **Action Items:**

**Motion** to approve three invoices; one for KVA and two for OMR totaling \$93,755 based on KVA's approved invoice summary dated 5/11/2011. So moved by Louis Salemy, seconded by Diana Rigby. The motion was unanimously approved.

VII. **New Business:**

Jerry spoke with Chris Whelan today asking him if he thought there were any town departments that would like to be involved in the planning of the HS and if so they should start talking to the committee now.

Another building committee meeting was added for June 15<sup>th</sup> from 6:00 to 7:30 PM.

VIII. **Public Comments:**

IX. **Adjourn**

A motion was made to adjourn by Sergio Siani and seconded by Michelle Ernst at 7:40 PM. The motion was unanimously approved.

Respectfully submitted,  
Leona Palmaccio

Approved: 6/8/11