

Present: Jeff Adams, Dave Anderson, Peter Badalament, Walter Birge, Michelle Ernst, John Flaherty, Tim Hult, Karla Johnson, Brian Miller, Peter Nobile, Diana Rigby, Benjamin Rizkin, Louis Salemy, Bill Tice, Jerry Wedge, Chris Whelan

Also Present: David Saindon, Frank Vanzler, KVA, Lisa Pecora-Ryan, Leland Koehler/Rice, Michael Rosenfeld, OMR

Absent: John Lindner, Joseph Morahan, Charlie Sample, Sergio Siani, Elise Woodward

I. Call to Order

Jerry Wedge called the meeting to order at 6:05 PM.

II. Reading of Minutes

A motion was made to approve the January 25, 2012 minutes by Walter Birge and seconded by Peter Badalament. The motion was unanimously approved.

III. General Committee Updates:

Diana reported that we received the executed PFA from the MSBA.

Jerry stated that tonight's meeting will include presentations and interviews from Shawmut and Turner. The Selection Committee interviewed five firms and has chosen Shawmut and Turner as their final selection. The Selection Committee consisted of Charlie Sample, Sergio Siani, Lisa Pecora-Ryan and Ron Steffek from OMR, John Flaherty, Dave Anderson and David Saindon from KVA. The Selection Committee will be doing the work tonight with the interviews and questions. After that the Building Committee as well as the public will have an opportunity to ask questions also.

IV. Shawmut Presentation and Interview

Shawmut gave a 60-minute presentation with questions and answers following their presentation.

V. Turner Presentation and Interview

Turner gave a 60-minute presentation with questions and answers following their presentation.

VI. Committee Discussion

At the conclusion of both presentations David Saindon indicated that the final decision is with the Selection Committee. By next Wednesday, February 15, the Selection Committee will recommend contractor X, the High School Building Committee will hopefully say we will approve that and then the building committee will recommend approval to the regional school committee at which time a vote will be taken. References were checked on both firms and all came back good to great. Both firms are top quality and the decision will be tough.

These are some of the comments made by the building committee members:

- From a pricing standpoint both firms are in the same ballpark
- Some differences in the quality of the presentation though no substantial differences in the quality of the firms; two great firms. Would like feedback from OMR about their perceptions
- Technical technique (Turner)
- Passion (Shawmut)
- Feedback from Wellesley HS & Wayland HS (reference checks show that the facilities people and architects were pleased with both Turner for Wellesley HS and Shawmut for Wayland HS.
- Comfortable with the way Turner answered questions about the challengers, dove into the real issues
- Liked the way Turner handled the Cori (planned ahead)
- Total confidence in Turner
- Great chemistry with Turner's project manager
- Great firms but Turner had better understanding on problems; more specific and thought more deeply about the real dilemmas of this job.
- Shawmut was well prepared and had great enthusiasm
- Turner more detail oriented; great project manager
- Turner – solid knowledge, not afraid of questions
- Shawmut highlighted great experiences for kids during construction
- Shawmut – focus on students and faculty. Turner had the technical expertise to meet our needs
- Shawmut – focus on green. Turner – clearer team structure, great project manager. Impressed with the use of c-docs
- Shawmut did a nice summary of their LEED and CHPS experience. Turner did not mention either during their presentation. Think both firms could do a nice CHPS project, but want to make sure that the

subcommittee emphasizes how important this is to the Building Committee and both towns. Want to be absolutely sure that we're not going to get either a shrug or a "you never mentioned" response from either team if/when we sign them up, which might result in additional costs for the paperwork/processing and occasionally substantial management tasks involved in the CHPS process

- Shawmut – engage students
- Turner – forthcoming on the budget
- Turner – staging, buying packages that removes an element of risk from the owner, project controls (does own layout work which is critical to controlling the project). Turner hands down.
- Both firms great problem solvers

VII. New Business

VIII. Adjourn:

A motion was made to adjourn by Peter Nobile and seconded by Walter Birge at 9:25 PM. The motion was unanimously approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Leona Palmaccio